

PostgreSQL Wartungsstrategien

Jens Wilke

PGConf.DE

11. November 2011

Wartungsstrategien

- Warum Wartung?
- Autovacuum Tuning
- Repairtools

Warum Wartung?

- Statistiken
pg_statistic
ANALYZE
- MVCC (Multiversion Concurrency Control)
Wiederverwendung von Speicherplatz
gelöschter Zeilenversionen

Warum Wartung?

- MVCC (Multiversion Concurrency Control)

```
INSERT INTO foo VALUES ('foo'),('bar');
```

| <u>xmin</u> | <u>xmax</u> | <u>col1</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1 | 0 | foo |
| 1 | 0 | bar |

```
DELETE FROM foo where col1 = 'bar';
```

| <u>xmin</u> | <u>xmax</u> | <u>col1</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1 | 3 | bar |
| 1 | 0 | foo |

Warum Wartung?

- MVCC (Multiversion Concurrency Control)
INSERT INTO foo VALUES ('foo'),('bar');

| <u>xmin</u> | <u>xmax</u> | <u>col1</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1 | 0 | foo |
| 1 | 0 | bar |

DELETE FROM foo where col1 = 'bar';

| <u>xmin</u> | <u>xmax</u> | <u>col1</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1 | 3 | bar |
| 1 | 0 | foo |

Warum Wartung?

- MVCC (Multiversion Concurrency Control)
INSERT INTO foo VALUES ('foo'),('bar');

| <u>xmin</u> | <u>xmax</u> | <u>col1</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1 | 0 | foo |
| 1 | 0 | bar |

DELETE FROM foo where col1 = 'bar';

| <u>xmin</u> | <u>xmax</u> | <u>col1</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1 | 3 | bar |
| 1 | 0 | foo |

Warum Wartung?

- VACUUM
 - Freespace Map
 - Transaktionsnummernüberlauf
- Strategien zur Reduzierung von Vacuum
TRUNCATE/Partitionierung
- Für massiv fragmentierte Tabellen oder Indexe ist Vacuum i.d.R. nicht ausreichend
 - Table-Bloat
 - Index-Bloat
- Monitoring
check_postgres.pl Bloat Check
pgstattuple

Autovacuum Daemon

- Autovacuum/Autoanalyse
- regelmäßige/permanente Wartung
- tägliches manuelles Vacuum Analyze ist in vielen Fällen nicht ausreichend
- Autoanalyse Tuning ist bei kippenden Plänen notwendig
- Autovacuum Tuning ist erforderlich, wenn es nicht nachkommt oder wenn die Worker eine zu hohe Systemlast verursachen und meist nur bei größeren Tabellen sinnvoll.
- Problematik langlaufender Transaktionen
- Statistics collector und ANALYZE:
pg_stat_user_tables und pg_class

Autovacuum Config Parameter

- postgresql.conf
(defaults)
 - track_counts = on
 - autovacuum = on
 - maintenance_work_mem = 16MB
 - autovacuum_max_workers = 3
 - autovacuum_vacuum_cost_limit = 200
 - autovacuum_vacuum_cost_delay = 20ms
 - log_autovacuum_min_duration = -1
 - autovacuum_naptime = 1min

Autovacuum Parameter

- Storage Parameter (pg_class.reloptions) und/oder postgresql.conf
 - autovacuum_enabled = on (Storage Parameter)
 - default_statistics_target = 100
 - autovacuum_vacuum_scale_factor = 0.2
 - autovacuum_analyze_scale_factor = 0.1
 - autovacuum_vacuum_threshold = 50
 - autovacuum_analyze_threshold = 50
- Storage Parameter ändern
ALTER TABLE ... SET
(autovacuum_analyze_scale_factor=0.05);

Autovacuum Tuning

autovacuum

UPDATES + DELETES \geq

$\text{reltuples} * \text{autovacuum_vacuum_scale_factor} + \text{autovacuum_vacuum_threshold}$

autoanalyze

INSERTS + UPDATES + DELETES \geq

$\text{reltuples} * \text{autovacuum_analyze_scale_factor} +$
 $\text{autovacuum_analyze_threshold}$

Autovacuum Tuning

Statistik

```
SELECT s.*, c.reloptions FROM pg_stat_user_tables s
JOIN pg_class c ON s.relid = c.oid ORDER BY n_live_tup DESC;
```

```
-[ RECORD 1 ]-----+-----
schemaname      | foo
relname         | bar
[...]
n_tup_ins       | 4826182
n_tup_upd       | 5510336
n_tup_del       | 1962041
n_tup_hot_upd   | 1679879
n_live_tup      | 12566817
n_dead_tup      | 48725
last_vacuum     |
last_autovacuum | 2011-10-10 19:40:55.15632+02
last_analyze    |
last_autoanalyze | 2011-10-10 21:22:51.942734+02
reloptions      | {autovacuum_vacuum_scale_factor=0.1,
autovacuum_analyze_scale_factor=0.05}
```

Autovacuum Logging

```
2011-10-24 17:52:08 CEST LOG: automatic vacuum of table ''foo.public.bar'':  
index scans: 1  
pages: 0 removed, 27452 remain  
tuples: 27126 removed, 460599 remain  
system usage: CPU 2.37s/5.68u sec elapsed 504.47 sec
```

```
2011-10-25 02:52:20 CEST LOG: automatic vacuum of table ''foo.public.bar'':  
index scans: 1  
pages: 0 removed, 27452 remain  
tuples: 942 removed, 455541 remain  
system usage: CPU 1.16s/3.09u sec elapsed 373.97 sec
```

Repairtools für die Reduktion massiver Bloat

- lockende Tools und/oder mit Downtime
 - dump und restore
 - CLUSTER vs VACUUM FULL 8.4/9.0
 - ALTER TABLE foo ALTER int_col SET DATA TYPE integer;
 - REINDEX
- nicht oder marginal lockende Tools ohne Downtime
 - CREATE INDEX CONCURRENTLY
 - compact_table (ctid based)
 - pg_reorg/Trigger based Replication